STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

SEP 2 5 1996

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEET OF INSURANCE

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of)	Docket No.	96A-060-INS
LAUREL LYNN TYRRELL and INNOVATIVE FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.,))	ORDER	
Respondents.))		

On August 21, 1996, the Office of Administrative
Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal,
submitted "Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge" in
the above-captioned matter, a copy of which is attached hereto.
The Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance has reviewed
the Recommended Decision and makes the following findings of
fact and conclusions of law and enters the following order.

Findings of Fact

- 1. At the hearing, the parties stipulated that in paragraphs numbered 9, 10, 13 and 16 in the Notice of Hearing, the year referenced in those paragraphs should be 1993 instead of 1994. The Notice of Hearing is amended accordingly to reflect the parties' stipulation.
- 2. Respondent Laurel Lynn Tyrrell ("Mrs. Tyrrell") is currently licensed by the State of Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") as a life and disability insurance agent. That license is due to expire September 30, 1997. Mrs. Tyrrell has held an insurance agent's license issued by the Department since 1985 and to her knowledge has had no complaints filed with the Department.
- 3. Innovative Financial Group, Inc. ("Innovative") is a duly authorized Arizona corporation currently holding a life

4 5

5

and disability insurance agency license which expires December 31, 1997. Innovative originally obtained its life and disability insurance agency license from the Department on December 31, 1989. Innovative previously held a variable annuity agency license which expired December 31, 1995.

- 4. Until February 21, 1996, Gene Tyrrell ("Mr. Tyrrell") was the president of Innovative.
- 5. In approximately 1993, Mrs. Tyrrell became an officer of Innovative. Mrs. Tyrrell became president of Innovative upon Mr. Tyrrell's resignation on February 21, 1996. Mrs. Tyrrell is presently the only officer of Innovative.
- 6. On November 16, 1991, Mr. Tyrrell, as president of Innovative, filed an application for renewal of the agency license held by Innovative (the "1991 renewal application"). The 1991 renewal application identified Mr. Tyrrell as president and Mrs. Tyrrell as secretary/treasurer, and further identified Mrs. Tyrrell, Jerry Prohaska, Doc Bachman and Pat Green as "licensed individuals representing the agency".
- 7. The 1991 renewal application contained the following certification executed by Mr. Tyrrell as president of Innovative:

To the best of his/her knowledge, no person named in "A" (Officers, Directors, Partners and/or Owners) or "B" (All licensed individuals representing the agency) or anyone designated in the license, since the last agency application or renewal thereof, has committed any act(s) which would constitute grounds for denial, suspension, or revocation of insurance licenses,

except as set forth in a separate statement accompanying this application and shall for a part hereof.

- 8. On May 17, 1990, the Director of the Department issued an Order in In the Matter of Gene Arthur Tyrrell, Docket No. 7120, wherein Mr. Tyrrell had all of his insurance licenses revoked based upon his admissions that in February, 1989 he forged an insured's name on a \$20,000 loan request and substituted his address for the insured's so that the loan check would be mailed to his address. The revocation of Mr. Tyrrell's insurance licenses was not disclosed in Innovative's 1991 renewal application.
- 9. On or about December 23, 1993, Mrs. Tyrrell submitted an application to renew the agency license held by Innovative (the "1993 renewal application"). The 1993 renewal application was signed by Mrs. Tyrrell as secretary/treasurer. The 1993 application identifies Mr. Tyrrell as president and Mrs. Tyrrell as secretary/treasurer, and further identifies Mrs. Tyrrell as the "licensed individual who represents the applicant for the license being renewed".
- 10. Mrs. Tyrrell answered "No" to question 9.C. of the 1993 renewal application, which asked:

SINCE APPLICANT'S LAST APPLICATION OR RENEWAL THEREOF, has applicant or anyone designated in the license had any professional, vocational, or business license denied, suspended, revoked or restricted, or a fine imposed by any public authority or withdrawn any application for or surrendered any such license to

avoid any disciplinary action?

Matter of Gene Arthur Tyrrell, Docket No. 8000, wherein after a hearing the Director of the Department denied Mr. Tyrrell's application for an insurance agent's license. This 1993 denial of Mr. Tyrrell's application for an insurance agent's license was not disclosed in Innovative's 1993 renewal application.

12. On June 9, 1993, an Opinion and Order was entered by the Arizona Corporation Commission, Decision No. 58302, <u>In</u>

the Matter of the Salesman Registration of: Gene Arthur Tyrrell,

CRD #1169487, revoking the salesman registration of Mr.

Tyrrell. The revocation of the salesman registration of Mr.

Tyrrell was not disclosed in Innovative's 1993 renewal application.

- application to renew the agency license of Innovative (the "1995 renewal application). The 1995 renewal application was signed by Mrs. Tyrrell as secretary/treasurer. The 1995 renewal application identified Mr. Tyrrell as president and Mrs. Tyrrell as secretary/treasurer and further identified Mrs. Tyrrell and Ron Brewer as the licensed individuals who represent Innovative for the license being renewed.
- 14. Although Mr. Tyrrell was the president of
 Innovative until February 21, 1996, the Department did not
 establish that Mr. Tyrrell was designated in Innovative's 1991,
 1993 or 1995 renewal applications nor in Innovative's license
 itself as a person who was authorized to exercise the agent
 powers conferred upon Innovative by the license nor did the

22 23 24

21

25 26 27

28

Department establish that Mr. Tyrrell engaged in any activities that would indicate he had such authorization.

- 15. The certification clause in the 1991 renewal application (quoted in Finding of Fact number 7) is ambiguous. The subsection immediately preceding the certification requires the applicant to provide "yes" or "no" answers to questions relating to persons "designated in the license", and requires signed, notarized statements for "yes" responses. Mr. Tyrrell was not designated in the license and therefore Innovative's responses to these affirmative inquiries was correct. certification clause is subject to at least two reasonable interpretations: (1) the certification clause merely restates the disclosure requirements set forth earlier in the application as to persons designated in the license, as asserted by Respondents; or (2) the certification clause imposes additional disclosure requirements on persons other than those designated in the license, including officers, as asserted by the Department. Because the certification language is ambiguous, substantial evidence was not presented to show that Innovative was required to disclose Mr. Tyrrell's 1990 revocation in its 1991 renewal application.
- The 1993 and 1995 renewal applications required disclosure of actions regarding the applicant itself, Innovative, or anyone designated in the license. Tyrrell was not designated in Innovative's license to exercise its powers Innovative was not required to disclose in its 1993 or 1995 renewal applications the 1993 denial of Mr. Tyrrell's application for an insurance license or the 1993 revocation of

Mr. Tyrrell's salesman registration.

17. Although the Tyrrell's testimony that Mrs.

Tyrrell had no knowledge of Mr. Tyrrell's revocation and denial proceedings until mid-1995 is not credible, a determination of whether Mrs. Tyrrell knew of these proceedings is not necessary in this proceeding.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Director has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to A.R.S. §§20-161 and 20-316.
- 2. Respondents' conduct does not constitute material misrepresentations in obtaining or attempting to obtain any insurance license in violation of A.R.S §20-316(A)(3).
- 3. Respondents' conduct does not constitute the existence of any cause for which original issuance or renewal of the Respondents' insurance licenses could have been refused within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-316(A)(1).
- 4. Respondents' conduct does not constitute a record of dishonesty in business or financial matters within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-316(A)(1) together with A.R.S. §20-290(B)(2).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT no action is taken on the licenses of Laurel Lynn Tyrrell or Innovative Financial Group, Inc. as to the matters alleged in the Notice of Hearing in this matter.

NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written petition with the Office of Administrative Hearings within 30 days of the date of

26

27

28

this Order, setting forth the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. §20-166.

DATED this 25 day of September, 1996.

Director of Insurance

Copy of the foregoing mailed this 25th day of September, 1996 to:

Office of Administrative Hearings c/o Lewis D. Kowal 1700 West Washington, Suite 602 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Kathryn Leonard Assistant Attorney Genera 1275 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Michael Salcido 6991 East Camelback Rd., Suite D-302 Scottsdale, Arizona 85251-2487

Charles R. Cohen, Deputy Director Catherine O'Neil, Assistant Director John Gagne, Assistant Director Maureen Catalioto, Supervisor Department of Insurance 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, Arizona 85018

Losomany D. Padillas

IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of:

LAUREL LYNN TYRRELL and INNOVATIVE FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.

Respondents.

No. 96A-060-INS

RECOMMENDED DECISION
OF ADMINISTRATIVE
LAW JUDGE

STATE OF ARIZONA RECEIVED

AUG 2 6 1996

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE INSURANCE DEPT.

HEARING: August 6, 1996

<u>APPEARANCES</u>: Michael Salcido, Esq. for Respondents, and Kathryn Leonard, Assistant Attorney General, for the Arizona Department of Insurance

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

Based upon the entire record of this matter, including all pleadings, motions, testimony and exhibits admitted during the hearing of this matter, Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal has prepared the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order for consideration by the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance ("the Department").

FINDINGS OF FACT

- At the hearing, the parties stipulated that in paragraphs numbered 9, 10, 13 and 16 in the Notice of Hearing, the year referenced in those paragraphs should be 1993 instead of 1994. The Notice of Hearing is amended accordingly to reflect the parties' stipulation.
- Laurel Lynn Tyrrell ("Mrs. Tyrrell") is currently licensed by the State of
 Arizona as a life and disability agent. That license is due to expire September 30,
 1997. Mrs. Tyrrell has held an insurance agent's license issued by the State of Arizona since 1985 and to her knowledge has had no complaints filed with the Department.

Office of Administrative Hearings 1700 West Washington, Suite 602 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-9826

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

26 27

25

28 29

30

- 3. Innovative Financial Group, Inc. ("Innovative") is a duly authorized Arizona corporation currently holding a life and disability insurance agency license which expires December 31, 1997. Innovative originally obtained its life and disability insurance agency license from the Department on December 31, 1989. Innovative previously held a variable annuity agency license which expired December 31, 1995.
- 4. At all times material to this matter, Mrs. Tyrrell was the vice-president, secretary and a director of Innovative.
- 5. At all times material to this matter, Gene Tyrrell ("Mr. Tyrrell") was the president and a director of Innovative. Mr. Tyrrell resigned as president of Innovative on February 21, 1996 and Mrs. Tyrrell took over that position on that date.
- 6. On November 16, 1991, Mr. Tyrrell, as president of Innovative, filed an application for renewal of the agency license held by Innovative (the"1991 renewal application").
- 7. The 1991 renewal application required that the individual signing the application as an officer, director or partner, certify under penalty of perjury that:

TO THE BEST OF HIS/HER KNOWLEDGE, NO PERSON NAMED AS AN OFFICER, DIRECTOR, PARTNER AND/OR OWNER OR ALL LICENSED INDIVIDUALS REPRESENTING INNOVATIVE AS DISCLOSED IN SUBSECTION B OF THE RENEWAL APPLICATION OR ANYONE DESIGNATED IN THE LICENSE, SINCE THE LAST AGENCY APPLICATION OR RENEWAL THEREOF, HAS COMMITTED ANY ACT(S) WHICH WOULD CONSTITUTE GROUNDS FOR DENIAL, SUSPENSION, OR REVOCATION OF INSURANCE LICENSES, EXCEPT AS SET FORTH IN A SEPARATE STATEMENT ACCOMPANYING THIS APPLICATION AND SHALL FOR A PART HEREOF.

- 8. On May 17, 1990, the Director of the Department issued an Order in *In the Matter of Gene Arthur Tyrrell*, Docket No. 7120, wherein Mr. Tyrrell had all of his insurance licenses revoked based upon his admissions that in February, 1989 he forged an insured's name on a \$20,000.00 loan request and substituted his address for the insured's so that the loan check would be mailed to his address.
- The 1991 renewal application requires an applicant to disclose acts which occurred since the last application or renewal which would constitute grounds for denial,

suspension or revocation of an insurance license. The 1990 revocation of Mr. Tyrrell's insurance licenses was not disclosed in the 1991 renewal application. Mr. Tyrrell's acts underlying the 1990 revocation mentioned above occurred prior to December 31, 1989, which was the date Innovative obtained from the Department its original insurance agent license. As of November 16, 1991, the date when the 1991 renewal application was submitted to the Department, Innovative was not required to disclose those acts because they occurred prior to the last application .

- 10. On December 22, 1993, Mrs. Tyrrell, as an officer of Innovative, submitted an application to the Department to renew Innovative's life and disability and variable annuity agent license (the "1993 renewal application").
- 11. In the 1993 renewal application, Mr. Tyrrell is listed as the president of Innovative.
- 12. Mrs. Tyrrell answered "No" to question C of the 1993 renewal application which asked

SINCE APPLICANT'S LAST APPLICATION OR RENEWAL THEREOF, has applicant or anyone designated in the license had any professional, vocational, or business license denied, suspended, revoked or restricted, or a fine imposed by any public authority or withdrawn any application for or surrendered any such license to avoid any disciplinary action?

- 13. On April 6, 1993, an Order was issued in *In the Matter of Gene Arthur Tyrrell*, Docket No. 8000, wherein after hearing the Director of the Department denied Mr. Tyrrell's application for an insurance agent's license.
- 14. The 1993 denial of Mr. Tyrrell's application for an insurance agent's license as set forth above, was not disclosed in the 1993 renewal application.
- 15. On June 9, 1993, an Opinion and Order was entered by the Arizona Corporation Commission, Decision No. 58302, *In* the *Matter of the Salesman Registration of: Gene Arthur Tyrrell*, CRD #1169487, revoking the salesman registration of Mr. Tyrrell.
- 16. The revocation of the salesman registration of Mr. Tyrrell as set forth above was not disclosed in the 1993 renewal application.

- 17. In the 1993 renewal application, information as to whether Innovative or anyone designated in the license of Innovative had any professional, vocational or business license denied, suspended or revoked by any public authority since the last application or renewal application was required to be disclosed.
- 18. No credible evidence was presented at the hearing that Mr. Tyrrell was designated in the insurance licenses of Innovative for the times material to this matter. Therefore, the above mentioned revocation and denial of license with respect to Mr. Tyrrell did not have to be disclosed in the 1993 renewal application.
- 19. Mrs. Tyrrell credibly testified that she did not know of the above-mentioned revocations and license denial of Mr. Tyrrell until 1995 when Mr. Tyrrell informed her of them. Since Mrs. Tyrrell has known Mr. Tyrrell she has never known him to hold any professional license.
- Mr. Tyrrell credibly testified that he did not inform Mrs. Tyrrell of the abovementioned revocations or license denial until the summer of 1995.
- 21. On December 15, 1995, Mrs. Tyrrell, as an officer of Innovative, submitted an application to renew the Innovative insurance agency license (the "1995 renewal application").
- 22. In the 1995 renewal application, Mr. Tyrrell is listed as the president of Innovative.
- 23. The above-mentioned revocations and insurance license denial regarding Mr. Tyrrel was not disclosed in the 1995 renewal application. The Department's contention that such information was required to be disclosed in the 1995 renewal application is not supported by the evidence. The 1995 renewal application required information to be disclosed since Innovative's last application or renewal. The above-mentioned revocation and license denial all took place before the 1995 renewal and were not required to be disclosed in the 1995 renewal application. Further, because no credible evidence was presented that Mr. Tyrrell was designated in the license, the above-mentioned license revocations and license denial with respect to Mr. Tyrrell did not have to be disclosed in the 1995 renewal application.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- Respondents' conduct as set forth above in the Findings of Fact does not constitute material misrepresentations in obtaining or attempting to obtain any insurance license in violation of A.R.S. §20-316(A)(3).
- 2. Respondents' conduct as set forth above in the Findings of Fact does not constitute the existence of any cause for which original issuance or renewal of the Respondents' insurance licenses could have been refused within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-316(A)(1).
- 3. There is no credible evidence in the record to support a finding that Respondents' conduct constitutes a record of dishonesty in business or financial matters within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-316(A)(1) together with A.R.S. §20-290(B)(2).

RECOMMENDED ORDER

Under the particular facts and circumstances of this case, the Administrative Law Judge recommends that no action be taken with respect to Laurel Lynn Tyrrell or Innovative Financial Group, Inc.

Done this day, August 21, 1996.

LEWIS D. KOWAL

Administrative Law Judge

Copy transmitted by fax to:

John King, Director ATTN: Curvey Burton Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, #210 Phoenix, AZ 85018-7256 Original transmitted by mail to:

John King, Director ATTN: Curvey Burton Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, #210 Phoenix, AZ 85018-7256

By Christranford